

Fifth Meeting of Administrators with Faculty Handbook Committee Members
Tuesday, April 4, 2006

Sally reported that she had spoken with Mary Beadle regarding Mary's concerns about how the various new deadlines would affect the Grauel application process. Discussions with her research committee revealed that they did not foresee any difficulties, so her concerns were ameliorated.

Sally reported that she and Bob Kolesar had met last week to review a draft of possible changes that would need to be made to the Faculty Handbook if dates for the various deadlines were changed. She and Bob reviewed these for the committee. One change that will make a difference is that the time for the appeal process for tenure and promotion questions is significantly shortened. Bob also pointed out that many of the procedures for tenure and promotion decisions are not currently being followed. It is important that we adhere to what the handbook specifies.

Sally suggested that deans need to go through the faculty evaluation process and outline the most desirable process and present it for review. Linda suggested that we move ahead because department chairs are still reviewing changes they would like to propose for Appendix M. These are two distinct issues. Sally agreed to get her group together to review additional language about the faculty evaluation process before the next scheduled meeting on April 25.

The group will try to conclude its business at the next meeting and proceed with our business in the fall.

Marcy Milota
Recorder